The Role of Fire and Fuels Management in Chaparral Restoration

> Alexandra D. Syphard Conservation Biology Institute

Tess Brennan and Jon E. Keeley USGS Western Ecological Research Center

USFS Chaparral Restoration Workshop, 18 June 2013

The man who asks a question is a fool for a minute, the man who does not ask is a fool for life. ~ Confucius

Is there a role for fuels management in chaparral restoration?

I. What is the role of fire in chaparral?

2. What ways could fuels management aid restoration?

3. How effectively could fuels management serve these roles?

4. ????

"Oh, do not ask, 'What is it?' Let us go and make our visit." TS Eliot

What is the Role of Fire?

Natural ecosystem process Landscape highly fire-prone Dense, continuous canopy Six months of drought & Santa Ana winds High intensity crown fire; large fires historically

Resilience to Periodic Fire

Post-fire recovery **Obligate seeders Obligate resprouters Facultative seeders Historic FRI** 30 - 200 + yearsSpecies adapted to fire regime Sensitive to short intervals Extirpation & replacement





Beyond the Threshold of Resilience

Exotic grasses replace shrubs; promote more fire

Burning in Water Drowning in Flame

- Charles Bukowski

When a natural ecosystem process Becomes a threat

Too Much of a Good Thing

Fire increasing > 95% are human-caused Population growth & urban expansion Projected future increases





Housing Density 1940 Partial Block Group Resolution



Housing Density 1980 Partial Block Group Resolution



Housing Density 2000 Partial Block Group Resolution



Partial Block Group Resolution



"If you do not change direction, you may end up where you are heading." Lao Tzu



What Can Fuels Management Do?

Direct benefits?

I). Prescription burning

Too much fire already; chaparral longevity > 100 yrs No apparent benefit; high likelihood of detriment

2). Fuel breaks or mechanical treatments
 Lack of surface fuels, must remove canopy
 Unlike forests, not compatible w/ resource benefits

East Camino Cielo Mastication Treatent, Los Padres National Forest

What Can Fuels Management Do?

Primary concerns of resource managers

- Increase in exotic species
- Type conversion
- Soil compaction
- Soil erosion & rilling
- Habitat loss
- Equipment disturbance
 - OHV use/disturbance



What Can Fuels Management Do?

Indirect effects

Direct effects likely negative impact But potential resource sacrifice for fire control

 1). Maintain existing fuel breaks – no ecological cost Areas with threat of high-recurrence fire Around sensitive areas, restoration areas, old growth
 2). Strategic placement of new fuel breaks Fire-prone or sensitive areas Combine with areas strategic for structure protection

East Camino Cielo Mastication Treatent, Los Padres National Forest

How effectively could it serve this role? The Role of Fuel Breaks in SoCal NFs National Forests

Angeles NF

San Bernadino NF

CNF

ANF

Syphard, Keeley, and Brennan Forest Ecology and Management 2011 International Journal of Wildland Fire 2011

Los Padres NF

Cleveland N

SBN

CN

What is the role of fuel breaks in controlling large fires & what factors influence this role?

GIS overlay and analysis Personal interviews



The Role of Fuel Breaks in Southern CA National Forests

Most fires don't encounter a fuel break Fires either stopped or were controlled by firefighters ~ 50% of encounters





Outcome Results, 3 Forests

Most important: Access, Fire size, Condition of FB

> 95% were controlled due to firefighter; fires rarely stop on their or

Variation among forests



Effective during normal weather or to control flanks Unsafe and ineffective during most Santa Ana events Embers can fly kilometers ahead of fire front



Comments from Interviews

Role of FBs: safe anchor for suppression – not to passively stop Top reasons fires not constrained:

- No access

Scarce resources & safety when fire big, fast, or multiple
 FB not maintained, difficult to maneuver
 When FB change behavior

- Maneuver, suppress in vicinity, buy time for structures





Back to the question: Is there a role for fuels management in chaparral restoration?

What is the role of fire?

Important ecosystem process But Fire regime change; too much fire How could fuels management work? Direct effect (-) Resource sacrifice for fire control (?) How effective is fuels management in that role? Normal weather vs Santa Ana winds ----- \rightarrow How to weigh trade-offs? ----- \rightarrow What else can we do?

Alternatives for reducing fire

Move beyond control toward prevention 95% caused by humans Direct efforts at prevention: need to know why, when, where Indirect through land use planning



Ignition Prevention

Predictive maps:

prioritize where to focus efforts

Equipment only



Distance to Road

Campfire only

e.g., Education about campfires in July or road barriers in hotspots

Land Use Planning



Highest frequency low to intermediate housing density Planning for infill development also saves structures, habitat



Syphard et al. 2012, PLoS ONE Syphard et al. 2007, Ecological Applications Syphard et al. 2009, Conservation Biology



Housing Density

Conclusion

Chaparral restoration / conservation likely most effective with less fire

Fuels management no direct benefit
Potential indirect benefit of reducing fire
Focus on existing fuel breaks; strategic placement
Resource sacrifice worth the trade-off?
Depends on fire weather conditions
Other alternatives – ignitions and planning – deserve more

thought

David Pu'u/Corbis Images

"It is better to debate a question without settling it than to settle a question without debating it." Joseph Joubert

Thank You





Altered Fire Regimes

Unlike forests Shrublands experiencing more frequent fire

Percentage departure of current FRI from presettlement period By H. Safford & M. Borchert

Fire Management in Southern CA

Suppression response to active fires Pre-fire fuel manipulation (Rx & <u>fuel breaks</u>) No systematic exploration of what role FBs play



Housing Arrangement & Location – Past Loss

Most likely to burn:

Low-intermediate density
Small, isolated cluster
Close to edge of cluster
Steep slope
Fewer roads

Syphard et al. 2011 PLoS ONE





Photo: RW Halsey

Angeles National Forest Fuelbreaks



The second in the second secon



otherwise), where and

177

N Intersections

Observed vs. Predicted Correlation: 0.61 RMSE: 1.31 (n inter) 0 fires

> 5 fires

Predicted N Intersections