## Genetic Considerations for Restoring Chaparral

Arlee M. Montalvo

**Riverside-Corona Resource Conservation District** 







#### Native Plant Materials are Used in Many Types of Planting Projects

Creating wildlife corridors

Old mine sites

Consideration of genetics is a cornerstone to managing biodiversity

- Preserving migration corridors and restoring dispersal linkages among fragmented populations
- Providing raw material (genetic variation) and potential for tracking environmental changes
- Preserving essential species interactions (pollinators, seed dispersers, soil microorganisms)
- Preserving natural processes at all levels

## Careful Choice of Species and Seed Sources for Projects Can:

- Provide appropriate genetic variation
- Help species track environmental changes
- Reduce adverse effects of inbreeding
- Minimize detrimental introductions
- Preserve critical interactions
- Protect genetic reserves
- Increase long-term success of projects

## Why Genetics Matters

- 1. Physical and biotic environments influence plant variation and distribution
- 2. Experiments reveal that adaptive differences affect success of translocation
- 3. Translocated species interact
- 4. Methods to guide choice of seed source

## California

Topographically, geologically, and climatically diverse



## Precipitation 2.5 – 125 inches

varies with latitude, elevation, distance from coast

## **Ecological Regions of California**



http://www.fs.fed.us/r5/projects/ecoregions/ca\_sections.htm



- **Elevation.** 300 to 11,500 ft.
- Precipitation.
  6 to 40 inches
- Temperature. 40° to 70°F.
  - **Growing Season.** 150 to 300 days

#### Level IV Ecoregion Map

Griffith, G.E., Omernik, J.M., Smith, D.W., Cook, T.D., Tallyn, E., Moseley, K., and Johnson, C.B., 2011(draft)



#### Many species wide-ranging

California Consortium of Herbaria, Berkeley Mapper: http://ucjeps.berkeley.edu/consortium



common yarrow, Achillea millefolium L. (all varieties on map)

# Plants with limited distributions may also occupy variable habitats



#### Variable environment $\rightarrow$ differences among populations.

Studies of leaf temperature at 50% cell death showed susceptibility to freezing varies among species and populations.

Plasticity vs. heritable differences?

(Boorse et al. 1998. AJB)

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Traits often vary with elevation

#### Mimulus cardinalis, scarlet monkeyflower

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

large variation in flowering time from sea level to 8000 ft

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Traits also vary with moisture and soil

*Eschscholzia californica* varies in flower color, size, annual vs. perennial life history, seed dormancy

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

inland

coastal

(Cook 1972; Montalvo, Feist-Alvey & Koehler 2002)

#### Ecological and Genetic Experiments

- 1. Physical and biotic environments influence plant variation and distribution
- 2. Experiments reveal adaptive differences affect success of translocations
- 3. Translocated species also interact
- 4. Methods to guide choice of seed source

#### Traditional Common Garden Studies Detect Genetic Differences

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

Basic model:

$$V_P = V_{Gen} + V_{Env}$$

in common garden:  $V_P \sim = V_{Gen}$ 

*Nassella pulchra,* purple needlegrass

Plants from several source populations grown together in random design (Knapp & Rice)

Common Gardens Can be Placed in Multiple Locations

- Reveal plastic response to environment
- Reveal existence & scale of adaptive differences

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Study of Lotus scoparius, California broom

- common self-compatible subshrub
- variable morphology and habitats
- two named varieties
- distributed widely in California
- used for erosion control, restoration

![](_page_18_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Lotus scoparius source sites and common gardens

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Study Showed Local Adaptation

- Evidence for significant home-site advantage
  - fitness decreased with an increase in genetic or environmental distance to planting site
  - fitness not associated with "geographic distance"

Prediction: long-distance outcrossing will disrupt local adaptation and may result in outbreeding depression

## Next: Species Interactions!

- 1. Physical and biotic environments influence plant variation and distribution
- 2. Experiments reveal that adaptive differences affect the success of translocation
- 3. Translocated species also interact
- 4. Methods to guide choice of seed source

#### Thinking Beyond Initial Transfers: Mixing Populations Can Result in Hybridization

#### **Beneficial Effects**

- Genetic rescue from inbreeding effects
- Introgression of new beneficial genetic combinations
- Possible hybrid vigor

#### **Adverse Effects**

- Mating incompatibilities
- Dilution of adaptation
- Hybrid breakdown and lower fitness for multiple generations
- Swamping rare species

#### Inbreeding and Outbreeding Depression as a Function of Distance

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

Genetic Distance between Parents/Populations

(figure After Kaye 2001) This may be especially important for rare species

#### 13,000 pollinations $\rightarrow$ parents and F1 hybrids

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Seedlings of parents and hybrids planted into common gardens at two contrasting sites

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Cumulative fitness of populations (seeds \* seedlings \* survival \* flower production)

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

Montalvo & Ellstrand 2001 AJB

Translocation Can be Detrimental *Depending* on Scale of Differences

- Outbreeding depression increased with:
  - genetic distance between parents
  - environmental distance of parental sites to transplant site

#### **Environmental similarity most influential**

## **Consider Community Interactions**

- Is there local adaptation to soil organisms?
- Is there local adaptation for pollinator service?
- Have populations adapted to local herbivores?
- Do populations differ in competitive ability?
- Can species hybridize with others in new place?
- Could species be invasive in its new location?

Reciprocal Transplant Study with blue wild rye (*Elymus glaucus*) and purple needle grass (*Nassella pulchra*) (Rice & Knapp 2008)

- Source populations differed in response to competition
- Increased interspecific competition amplified expression of local adaptation

#### Genetic Assimilation -- the "Borg" Factor

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

A. Populations come into contact B. First generation hybridization

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

C. Continued backcrossing

![](_page_30_Figure_6.jpeg)

D. Species 1 locally extinct

#### **Potential Genetic Assimilation?**

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

- The rare *Abronia maritima* hybridizes with *A. latifolia* and *A. umbellata*
- 14 of 40 sample populations had hybrids, including several thought to be single species populations (Blancas 2001).

Photos by Lesley Blancas

#### Hybridization and New Invasive Species

Spartina alterniflora introduced from east coast of USA to San Francisco Bay. Grows in lower, deeper zones.

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

Hybridized with the native Spartina foliosa which doesn't collect sediments. Using Ecological and Genetic Principles to Maintain Biodiversity – A Challenge

When in doubt, play it safe.....

- Reduce risk by making informed choices
- Collect in local area and elevation for lower risk
- Stay within Ecological Subregions, reserves, as needed
- Source seeds more widely for severely altered sites
- To adjust for fragmentation and climate change, consider historical patterns and future projections

You can maintain multiple levels of genetic diversity from genes to populations to communities and ecosystems.

## END